UNIVERSITY VILLAGE, 100 and 110 Bleecker Street (aka Silver Towers I & II, 98-122 Bleecker Street and 40-58 West Houston Street) and 505 LaGuardia Place (aka 487-507 LaGuardia Place and 64-86 West Houston Street). Built 1964-67; I. M. Pei & Associates, architect; James Ingo Freed, chief designer.

Landmark Site: Borough of Manhattan, Block 524, Lot 1 and Lot 66, in part, beginning at the southwest corner of Lot 1 and extending northerly along the western property line of Lot 1 coincident with the easterly side of LaGuardia Place 248 feet; then easterly along a section of the northern property line of Lot 1 that runs parallel to Bleecker Street 124 feet; then northerly 130.18 feet along a section of the western property line of Lot 1 that parallels LaGuardia Place; then easterly along the northern property line of Lot 1 and a section of the northern property line of Lot 66 coincident with the southerly side of Bleecker Street 302.24 feet; then southerly and parallel to Mercer Street 336.52 feet; then easterly and parallel with West Houston Street 9.92 feet; then southerly and parallel with Mercer Street to the southern property line of Lot 66; then westerly along a section of the southern property line of Lot 66 and the southern property line of Lot 1 coincident with the northerly side of West Houston Street 437.35 feet to the point of beginning.

On June 24, 2008 the Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public hearing on the proposed designation of University Village and the proposed designation of the related Landmark site. The hearing had been duly advertised in accordance with law. Twenty-seven people spoke in favor of designation including Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer and Councilman Alan Jay Gerson, as well as representatives of United States Congressman Jerrold Nadler, New York State Senator Thomas K. Duane, New York State Assemblywoman Deborah J. Glick, 505 LaGuardia Place Board of Directors, Community Board No. 2, American Institute of Architects, American Society of Landscape Architects, DoCoMoMo US/New York Tri-State, the Historic Districts Council, Landmarks Conservancy, Modern Architecture Working Group, Municipal Art Society, the Society for the Preservation of Greenwich Village, and the West Village Task Force. Numerous letters in support of designation have also been received. New York University testified in support of designation and discussed its proposal to develop a fourth tower on the site, as well as to modify the landscaping.

Summary

University Village is one of the finest examples of a mid-20th century residential complex located in New York City. Designed by architect James Ingo Freed of I. M. Pei & Associates for New York University, construction began in 1964 and was completed by 1967. Occupying a five-acre “superblock” in Greenwich Village, between West Houston and Bleecker Streets, the site was originally part of a much larger urban renewal scheme conceived by Robert Moses, chairman of the Mayor’s Committee on Slum Clearance, in 1953. As part of NYU’s agreement with the city to take over the site in 1960, the school set aside one-third of the units for middle-income residents. The complex includes three identical free-standing 30-story towers executed in reinforced concrete that are positioned at the center of the
site in a “pinwheel” configuration around a 100-by-100 foot lawn. The west tower, at 505 LaGuardia Place, is a cooperative residence with a long-term lease from NYU, and the east towers serve as faculty housing. The buildings were thoughtfully arranged by Freed to maximize views and privacy, as well as to increase general visual interest. Cast in place, on site, using fiberglass molds, these buff-colored towers fall into the general stylistic category known as “Brutalism” and reflect the influence of the Swiss-French architect Le Corbusier, whom Pei admired. The buildings display twin sets of smooth gridded facades that project from a central core. Each floor has four or eight deeply-recessed horizontal window bays, as well as a 22-foot-wide sheer wall, creating strong contrasts of light and shadow. Near the center of the complex stands a large sandblasted concrete sculpture, an enlargement of a 1954 cubistic work by Pablo Picasso. Executed in 1968 by the French artist’s frequent collaborator, the Norwegian sculptor Carl Nesjar, the off-center placement of the 36-foot tall bust echoes and enhances the project’s dynamic plan. University Village was a critical success and received awards from the American Institute of Architects, the City Club of New York, and the Concrete Industry Board. It was also selected as one of “Ten Buildings That Climax an Era” by Fortune Magazine in 1966. Both Pei and Freed have received significant recognition for their contributions to this project; when Pei was honored with the Pritzker Architecture Prize in 1983 University Village was cited as one of his most notable works and at the time of Freed’s death in 2005 Museum of Modern Art architecture curator Terence Riley counted the complex as among “the most refined examples of modern architecture in Manhattan.”
DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS

South of Washington Square

University Village contains three apartment towers designed by I. M. Pei & Associates. Located two blocks south of Washington Square, between Mercer Street and LaGuardia Place, the two buildings to the east are owned by New York University (NYU) and serve as faculty housing; the west building is a cooperative apartment house on land owned by NYU. Conceived during the early 1950s as part of an ambitious “superblock” plan to improve a nine-block section of Greenwich Village, these striking structures were skillfully sited to create a distinct sense of place and to contrast with the surrounding blocks of late nineteenth-century tenement and loft buildings.

Washington Square first became a public park in 1827. Many fine homes were erected in the vicinity, as well as the Gothic Revival-style building that originally housed NYU (Town, Davis & Daikin, 1833-37).1 Following the end of the Civil War, however, the neighborhood’s character began to change. Affluent residents were gradually replaced by French, Italian and Irish immigrants, and the blocks to the south and east of the square became an important wholesale district. Under Chancellor Henry MacCracken, the school was reorganized and the undergraduate arts and science division moved to University Heights in the Bronx where a Beaux-Arts-style campus (partially a designated New York City Landmark), designed by the prominent architects McKim, Mead & White, opened in 1894. Unlike Washington Square, the suburban campus provided dormitories, making it less of a commuter school and more of a residential college. The school’s original building was then demolished, but for financial reasons the trustees retained ownership of the site and constructed a revenue-producing loft building (later called Main Building, now Silver Center) at 100 Washington Square East.2 Completed in 1895, the upper floors were planned as classrooms, allowing NYU to remain, in a small way, associated with Washington Square. The university began to plan its return to Greenwich Village in 1915. With the Bronx campus only partially executed, the school leased space in the structure where the tragic “Triangle Shirtwaist” fire had recently occurred at 23-29 Washington Place (aka 245 Greene Street, a designated New York City Landmark) and also began to fully occupy the structure it owned at 100 Washington Square East.3

Following the Second World War, NYU developed ambitious plans to increase its presence in Greenwich Village. Whereas the University Heights campus occupied a large site with considerable potential for expansion, here the school grew in stages, block by block, acquiring large and small parcels for present and future needs. These transactions frequently caused controversy and strong community opposition, especially projects requiring government approval or financing.4 Despite criticism, Chancellor Harry Woodburn Chase alleged in 1949: “Far from regarding Washington Square as its campus, New York University regards it as a national landmark of which it is glad to be a part and its devotion to which it has repeatedly manifested over the years.”5 Over the next decade or so, the school would adopt a somewhat traditional approach to design, choosing contextual aesthetic strategies that blended new buildings with old. Most of these structures were faced with red brick and limestone, including Vanderbilt Hall (Egger & Higgins, 1951) at 40 Washington Square South, Hayden Hall (c. 1957) at 33 Washington Square West, the Loeb Student Center (Harrison & Abramowitz, 1957-59, demolished), and Weinstein Hall (Harrison & Abramowitz, early 1960s) at 11 University Place. Even Philip Johnson and Richard Foster’s divisive master plan of 1964 attempted to respect the
school’s historic context by proposing to clad new and existing structures with complementary red sandstone.

Robert Moses and Urban Renewal

During the 1950s, a nine-block area bordered by West Houston Street, West Broadway (renamed LaGuardia Place in 1967), Mercer Street and Washington Square, was targeted for slum clearance. Under United States President Harry S. Truman, the National Housing Act was passed in 1949 to provide Federal funds for local municipalities to acquire property in blighted urban areas for resale to private developers. This program had an extraordinary impact in New York, which received more aid than any American city. Under Robert Moses, chairman of the mayor’s Committee on Slum Clearance, sixteen sites were identified, including thirteen in Manhattan. Such projects, public officials believed, would stabilize the middle class, support higher education, and elevate the city’s reputation. The blocks where University Village would ultimately be located, called Washington Square Southeast, was the fourteenth project proposed by Moses. The initial prospectus for Washington Square Southeast, prepared by Eggers & Higgins in August 1953, called for the demolition of 191 buildings, of which only 16 were residential. Most displaced tenants, consequently, were businesses, requiring no compensation under the 1949 law. Covering almost eighteen acres, the plan envisioned 2,184 apartments in nine 14-story structures.

To develop the project, nine city blocks were combined to form three “superblocks.” The north block, bordered by West Broadway, West Third Street, Mercer Street and West Fourth Street, was set aside for educational use and NYU would eventually construct four academic buildings here, including the Elmer Holmes Bobst Library (Philip Johnson and Richard Foster, 1968-72). The two blocks to the south were intended for middle-income housing and were sold to the Washington Square Corporation – a syndicate headed by developers Paul Tishman and Morton S. Wolf and subsequently transferred to numerous affiliated corporations. Named Washington Square Village, these two slab-like apartment houses occupy about a third of the site and the ground floors were deliberately laid out along Bleecker and West Third Streets to maintain the existing street pattern and allow north-south access along Greene and Wooster Streets. A third building, as well as an underground parking lot, was originally planned for the south block, between Bleecker Street and Houston Street, but was canceled by 1960. Some sources claimed that the developer experienced difficulty leasing units in the second building, while others contend they abandoned the project for economic reasons, asserting that the Federal government refused to allow a taller third structure without increasing payment for the land.

NYU was quick to act and in January 1960 declared interest in buying the superblock site to erect housing “for its faculty members and married students and an experimental school for student teachers.” Despite considerable opposition from critics who asserted private builders “would be glad to pay more than the $10.50 a square foot that NYU would pay for the land” and that this transaction would cost the city hundreds of thousands of dollars in lost tax revenue, the Board of Estimate approved the sale in September 1960. As part of the agreement, the school was required to develop one third of the site as middle-income housing, with priority given to people who lived or worked in the area. A limited-equity cooperative apartment building was proposed, financed with subsidized low-interest mortgage loans as part of the Limited Profit Housing Companies Act of 1955, commonly called the Mitchell-Lama Housing Program. Since the complex would occupy the undeveloped southern half of Washington Square Village, the school’s governing board decided to name the development “University Village.”
I. M. Pei and James Ingo Freed, Architects

University Village was designed by I. M. Pei & Associates. More than twenty-five architects were reportedly interviewed for the job. Pei did not attend the firm’s interview and correspondence with NYU was handled by Eason H. Leonard, who wrote:

The experience we have had in the sensitive design problems relating to housing of all types gives us confidence that we are well-equipped to develop for you a project of significant quality. Our recent work in Urban Renewal has assured us that within the limits of very low construction budgets, much can be done to improve standards of urban apartment living.12

Pei’s firm was currently working on four residential developments, including Society Hill in Philadelphia (1957-63) and Kips Bay Plaza (1957-64) in New York City. Both complexes were constructed using exposed reinforced concrete and the New York Times praised the technique for yielding “fresh design.”13

Born in Canton, China, in 1907, Ieoh Ming Pei immigrated to the United States in 1937 and studied architecture at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1940, hereafter MIT) and the Harvard Graduate School of Design (1946). In 1948 he moved to New York City and became the first director of architecture at Webb & Knapp, Inc., a major real estate development company. Founded under the name 385 Madison Avenue in 1922 by W. Seward Webb, Jr. and Robert C. Knapp, as well as the noted architects Eliot Cross and John Walter Cross, the firm was purchased by businessman William Zeckendorf in 1949. Pei remembered that his boss “wanted to remake the city. We had a shared passion for large scale undertakings.”14 In recognition of his expertise with residential design, Pei served on the Federal Housing Administration’s Multi-Family Housing Committee during the late 1950s, as well as the design committee for the Mitchell-Lama Housing Program.15

In 1960 – the same year Pei received the NYU commission – he resigned from Webb & Knapp and formed his own architectural practice. Though Zeckendorf’s financial difficulties played a part in the architect’s decision to leave, he later recalled:

I knew that if I stayed within the envelope of the company, I would never get the kinds of jobs I really wanted . . . My growth as a designer was stunted; I should have reached my maturity much earlier.16

Success and notoriety, however, came quickly to Pei. He received the prestigious Arnold Brunner Prize for excellence in architecture from the National Institute of Arts and Letters in March 1961, followed by the Medal of Honor from the New York Chapter of the American Institute of Architects in September 1963. Major subsequent works by Pei include: the National Gallery of Art, East Building (1968-78) in Washington, D. C., the John F. Kennedy Presidential Library (1966-79) in Boston, the Bank of China Tower (1982-89) in Hong Kong, and the Grand Louvre (1988-93) in Paris. In New York City, he designed the National Airlines Terminal (1962-70) at Kennedy International Airport and the Guggenheim Pavilion (1983-92) at Mount Sinai Medical Center. In 1983 he was honored as the fifth recipient of the prestigious Pritzker Architecture Prize. Though Pei retired from the firm in 1990, he continues to practice as an architect and is associated with Pei Partnership Architects, based in New York City.

Pei selected James Ingo Freed (1930-2005) to head the University Village design team. Born in Germany, Freed moved to Chicago at the age of nine. A graduate of the Illinois Institute of Technology (IIT) in 1953, he studied under the architecture school’s chairman Ludwig Mies...
van der Rohe and worked briefly with the architect on the Seagram Building (1955-58, a designated New York City Landmark and Interior). Hired by Pei in 1956, Freed participated in the design and construction of Court House Square, including the Denver Hilton Hotel (1954-60), with colleague Araldo A. Cossuta, and later, served as lead designer on Kips Bay Plaza. During the mid-1960s, he collaborated with Pei on the design of fifty air traffic control towers for the Federal Aeronautics Administration. Planned during the administration of President John F. Kennedy, like University Village, these slender structures were formed using cast-in-place concrete. Freed received many honors during his career and from 1975 to 1978 was dean of the School of Architecture at IIT. He later returned to New York and was promoted to full partner in 1980. At the time, the firm was renamed Pei Cobb Freed & Partners. Manhattan buildings designed by Freed include: a 32-story office building at 88 Pine Street (1968-73), a 27-story office building at 499 Park Avenue (1977-81), and the Jacob Javits Convention Center (1979-88). His best-known work is arguably the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington, D.C., dedicated in 1993.

The Scheme

Freed began to plan University Village in late 1960 or early 1961. In Pei’s office, he worked closely with architects Theodore A. Amberg and A. Preston Moore (1919-2007).[^17] The design went through several distinct stages and more than three years passed before construction of 505 LaGuardia Place began in April 1964. The building site consisted of the center area of the superblock and excluded the northwest corner of the superblock which was already developed with a one-story supermarket.

NYU’s preliminary scheme contained three buildings that were “comparable in design” to Washington Square Village, as well as an elementary school facing West Houston Street. Separated by Greene and Wooster Streets, these rectangular structures were arranged in a single row, from east to west, across the center of the site. By December 1960, however, NYU had completely changed course; it informed the Housing and Redevelopment Board (successor to the Committee on Slum Clearance) that Pei had a “tentative plan in which he hopes to achieve some sort of community atmosphere with fairly low buildings interspersed with one or two tall structures.”[^18]

Freed’s proposal was loosely modeled on Society Hill, juxtaposing structures of different height and materials, including a 16-story cooperative apartment house, a 29-story apartment tower for faculty members facing a plaza, and a “serpentine building of six stories . . . modeled to some extent, on the typical New York City brownstone.”[^19] The latter building’s footprint was quite large, turning at right angles, starting at Houston Street and ending on Bleecker Street. In late 1962, this design was greatly simplified, laying the groundwork for a scheme which included twin slab-like towers flanking Wooster Street and a seven-story brick residential building, distinguished an L-shaped footprint, facing the intersection of Houston and Mercer Streets. This approach appears to have remained under consideration until December 1963 when NYU announced its purchase of Washington Square Village. This acquisition allowed the school to re-evaluate its housing needs and the low-rise building was abandoned and replaced by a third tower. Not only would three identical towers reduce construction costs and streamline the design process, but Freed said this type of plan would leave as “large and flexible a land area as possible set aside for future use.”[^20]

The addition of the third tower dramatically altered the character of University Village. Not only did aesthetic uniformity result within the complex, but this strategy sharpened the
contrast between the buildings and the neighborhood, similar to such pioneering “tower in the
park” schemes as Parkchester (completed 1940) in the Bronx, the Clinton Hill Houses (c. 1943,
part of the Clinton Hill Historic District), and Stuyvesant Town (1943-49) in Manhattan. No
longer would mirror-image towers flank the former route of Wooster Street but three identical
towers would be carefully arranged around a central lawn, with the east section of the
superblock, between Mercer and Wooster Streets, left open. This block-long parcel is now
occupied by the Jerome S. Coles Sports Center, completed in 1982.

In Freed’s final scheme, the towers were deliberately arranged to turn away from the
surrounding streets, particularly West Houston Street, a busy cross-town artery, and LaGuardia
Place, which the city had intended to widen below Washington Square. Located near the center
of the site, they rise from a slightly elevated man-made platform that raises the south end of the
block almost ten feet and hides two parking garages. Landscaping would also be used to increase
privacy and enhance the scheme’s floating pinwheel arrangement.21

In contrast to Washington Square Village, where the two buildings stand parallel to each
other, the buildings in University Village are oriented in different directions, with two towers
facing north toward Bleecker Street, and the other, west toward LaGuardia Place. This type of
arrangement was pioneered in two earlier Pei projects, first at Kips Bay Plaza, where the two 21-
story apartment buildings slide in opposite directions toward the east and west, and more closely
at Society Hill, where three 31-story towers rise tightly around a plaza. Whereas most Manhattan
buildings fit snugly into the grid and address the street directly in a conventional way, at
University Village each structure seems independent and was deliberately positioned in an
asymmetrical manner around a 100-by-100-foot lawn to maximize views and create general
visual interest. In addition, these open spaces act as corridors to frame views of each tower. Pei
later remarked:

A city, so far from being a cluster of buildings, is actually a sequence of spaces
enclosed and defined by buildings. This may sound strange but it is the essence of
urban design.22

Unlike many “tower in the park” projects located in New York City, Freed created a deliberate
tension between the buildings and the space they occupy – not unlike the celebrated mid-20th
century sculptor Alberto Giacometti’s City Square (1948) in which “four men stride across a
wide plaza, each moving toward the center, yet none apparently directed toward an encounter
with one another.”23 University Village similarly avoids a single axis or orientation, and thus also
recalls the spatial experiments of the De Stijl group in Holland during the late 1910s and 1920s,
as well as early residential projects designed by Ludwig Mies van der Rohe.24 Such innovative
modernist ideas were essential to the final scheme, shaping not only the site plan but also the
design of the three towers.

Towers of Concrete

Pei favored reinforced concrete over all other building materials during the early 1960s.
His work was typically crisp and elegant and this material defines the character of his mid-career
production. A great admirer of the Swiss-born architect Le Corbusier (1887-1965), Pei claimed
that in Shanghai before 1944: “There were no teachers to teach us the new architecture . . . so we
turned to Corbu’s books, and these were responsible for half of our education.”25 Titled the
Oeuvre Complete, this self-published series of eight volumes documented Le Corbusier’s
development as an architect and his frequent use of concrete construction to create a formal
language of abstract sculptural forms. This was particularly evident in his late design for the
Unité d’Habitation (1947-53) at Marseilles, which features a 12-story horizontal grid of deeply-recessed cells. During the late 1940s, this project received international attention and the play of large and small openings on the building’s facade may have influenced Pei’s subsequent work in concrete, especially his apartment buildings.26

University Village makes extremely sophisticated use of exposed concrete. It falls under the general stylistic category known as “Brutalism” for its straightforward use of this material but in contrast to many examples, particularly the late work of Le Corbusier and Breuer, as well as Paul Rudolph, the exterior is noticeably smooth and elegant. Pei developed this technique between 1957 and 1960, while employed by Zeckendorf. He later recalled: “I had a wonderful client . . . who was willing to gamble with me on using concrete and not brick.”27 Edward L. Friedman headed the firm’s research, publishing a thorough article on the subject in October 1960, just before NYU awarded Pei the commission. Though initial studies seemed to support the continued use of pre-cast concrete (which Freed used for the Denver Hilton), cast-in-place concrete was substituted to trim costs and conform to building codes that encouraged “structural continuity.” Many challenges were overcome, such as how to control the consistency of the color and difficulties with shrinkage and cracking. Friedman described the nearly-complete Kips Bay Plaza as a “prototype,” while the firm’s soon-to-be built scheme for three towers at Society Hill was termed a “refinement.”28

As construction of University Village neared completion, architect Araldo A. Cossuta, published “From Precast Concrete to Integral Architecture” in October 1966. He, too, was part of Pei’s office and his essay celebrated the firm’s accomplishments, paying particular attention to the Earth Sciences Center at MIT (1959-64) where, as at University Village, load-bearing walls were poured on site to create column-free interiors. He specifically criticized conventional skin construction and how architects sometimes disguise the handiwork of engineers. The goal, Cossuta argued, was to create “real walls” – not curtain walls – through the integration of structure and skin. He maintained that this technique would result in a “return to classical simplicity” and that such plastic forms can express an “external-internal continuum” whereby architects take “possession of shadows rather than diluting them by reflection.”29

A warm, buff-colored concrete was selected for University Village, comparable, depending on the light, to sandstone or limestone. At Chatham Towers (Kelly & Gruzen, with Mario J. Romanach, 1965-66) in Chinatown, architects used plywood boards to form the exposed concrete, producing a rough and yet interesting patterned surface. At University Village, however, fiberglass molds were employed. According to Friedman, this material was chosen for various reasons: not only was the quality of the results high but fiberglass is easy to assemble, strip, and re-use. Furthermore, various concrete coatings, release agents, and even scaffoldings were evaluated. In terms of cost and quality, he claimed that such research returned handsome dividends. Each section took approximately four-to-eight weeks to set and harden, and overall, construction took six-to-eight weeks less than conventional brick facings.30

The three towers display twin sets of gridded facades projecting from a central core. Each floor has four or eight horizontal window openings and a 22-foot-wide sheer wall, separated by a thin slot of flat windows. Located at either end, these smooth windowless walls contrast with the deeply-recessed window openings and reflect light. Each opening is formed by a pair of T-shaped columns that meet over the center of the bay. These columns narrow toward the front, creating a wedge-shaped profile. Between floors, horizontal and vertical joints are visible. These gaps indicate each stage of casting and allow for thermal movement throughout the year. Deep reveals were fashioned to conceal troweled joints.
At the base, the T-shaped columns are considerably taller – more than twice the height of the residential floors. These columns form a continuous entrance arcade along the front facades, similar to Kips Bay Plaza. Shaped like elongated diamonds, they enclose a deep gallery with tan brick walls that flank the glazed outer walls of the lobby. In terms of color and rhythm they exhibit a vaguely classical spirit, suggesting an ancient Greek or Roman temple.31

Construction

A ceremonial ground breaking for the first building – Washington Square South East, later known as 505 West Broadway (now LaGuardia Place) – was held on August 12, 1964, following approval of plans by the Housing and Redevelopment Board and the Building Department.32 In attendance was NYU President James W. Hester who described the project as “a successful example of community cooperation.”33 Later that year, in November 1964, the Board of Estimate endorsed the larger scheme, along with various criteria to determine residency in the cooperative apartments. Tenants who had been forced to move were given first priority, followed by people dislocated by similar projects in Greenwich Village.34 At this time, the Board of Estimate also approved the closing of Greene and Wooster Streets.

Construction started in late August 1964. The Tishman Construction Company served as contractor and Farkas & Barron was the engineer.35 Amberg, from Pei’s office, served as site architect, overseeing day-to-day operations. The first step was the pouring of the foundations – a four-foot-thick concrete pad covering each building’s footprint. More complicated than conventional concrete footings, it required continuous pouring and the delivery process was characterized by the New York Times as having the “precision of a military campaign.”36 It was a relatively efficient process and, according to Amberg, the towers rose simultaneously on a “three day cycle.” On the first day, the fiberglass forms were erected incorporating the steel, followed by a day for pouring, and a day for removing the formwork. Construction progressed on a “staggered” schedule, meaning that the contractor performed one of three tasks on each tower during a given day. The first building was “topped out” in December 1964 and by late 1966 the three towers were nearly complete.

Modern Architecture and New York University

Prior to the 1930s, most American universities commissioned buildings inspired by European and Jeffersonian models, including neo-classical and neo-Gothic structures that recalled Oxford, Cambridge, Charlottesville, as well as other historic centers of learning. After World War I, European architects began to challenge this approach, arguing that traditional forms were no longer desirable or appropriate. These ideas attracted considerable support in the United States. The New School for Social Research (a designated New York City Interior Landmark, part of the Greenwich Village Historic District) was one of the first buildings in New York City and one of the first college buildings in the United States to exhibit characteristics of the so-called “International Style.” Designed by Joseph Urban in 1930-31, the clean-lined glass and brick facade stood in sharp juxtaposition to its nineteenth-century neighbors. By decade’s end, several modern-style campuses had begun construction, including Florida Southern University (Frank Lloyd Wright, 1938-55), IIT (Mies, 1938-56), and Hunter College (Shreve, Lamb & Harmon, Harrison & Fouilhoux, 1938-41). After World War II, this trend accelerated, transforming the campuses of Harvard University, Yale University, and MIT.
NYU embarked on a major building campaign during the late 1940s. To help guide the school’s expansion, a Buildings and Grounds Committee of the Board of Trustees was formed in 1952. George F. Baughman, the school’s vice president and treasurer, praised their vision:

Without their willingness to take risks, we could do nothing. As an example, because of their enthusiastic aid, we have been able to employ some of the most exciting architects now practicing . . . a cross section of talent representing the best of contemporary American architecture.  

Many exceptional firms participated, including Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, Harrison & Abramowitz, and Marcel Breuer. Among them, SOM was responsible for the school’s first structure designed in the International Style – the Institute of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Located near 34th Street, on the east side of First Avenue, it was part of the NYU-Bellevue Medical Center. Construction began in 1949 and the first four SOM buildings were completed by 1956. To provide housing for the school’s staff, a plan for NYU-Bellevue Title I (later called Kips Bay Plaza) was issued in 1953. When the developer failed to obtain financing, Robert Moses invited William Zeckendorf to take over the project and he replaced S. J. Kessler & Sons with his own architect, I. M. Pei, in 1957. Located in full sight of the medical center, on the west side of First Avenue, this well-designed complex positioned Pei as a leading candidate to design University Village.

By 1959 NYU had seven new buildings under construction. The Architectural Record enthusiastically reported: “New York University – largest in the nation – is matching its size with a building program that calls for thirty-five million dollars worth of construction in one year!” Included were structures designed by Breuer, Harrison & Abramowitz, SOM, and Warner Burns, Toan & Lund.

**Bust of Sylvette**

At the center of University Village, near the southeast corner of the center lawn, stands Pablo Picasso’s *Bust of Sylvette*. Thirty-six feet tall and purportedly weighing sixty tons, this colossal cubistic sculpture served a dual purpose – to decorate the lawn and to enhance the scheme’s pinwheel character.

Outdoor sculpture has played an important role in New York City since the mid-nineteenth century. Most early examples were financed by private groups to embellish public squares and parks. Some, like bronze portraits of George Washington (Henry Kirke Brown, 1853-55) and Abraham Lincoln (Henry Kirke Brown, 1868) at Union Square, were intended to express patriotism and recall historic events, while others, including Central Park’s *Angel of the Waters* (Emma Stebbins, 1868, also known as the Bethesda Fountain) served a symbolic purpose, representing common ideas and shared beliefs. Gradually war monuments and memorials began to dominate the public realm, particularly to honor the 25th anniversary of the end of the Civil War. Most were executed in a realistic style, with handsome stone pedestals, echoing the classical statuary of ancient Greece and Rome.

The *Bust of Sylvette*, however, belonged to an entirely new tradition, one inspired by French modernism and the Art Deco style. At Rockefeller Center, a gilded statue of the Greek god Prometheus (Paul Manship, 1934) was commissioned to adorn the sunken plaza. Located on axis with the promenade (called Channel Gardens) that connects with Fifth Avenue, it was conceived to draw people toward the lower shopping concourse and serve as a gleaming focal point or “eye catcher” within the limestone complex. Other works at Rockefeller Center were notable for employing the modern materials, such as glass block, stainless steel, and aluminum.
This trend intensified after the Second World War, especially during the period when modern architectural aesthetics became part of the mainstream. Industrial materials transformed the appearance of new buildings and new planning concepts would open up Manhattan’s grid with privately-owned plazas. Two pioneering examples, Lever House (SOM, 1950-52) and the Seagram Building (Mies, 1955-58), were conceived as settings for contemporary sculpture. Though no works were executed, major pieces were, in fact, commissioned for Chase Manhattan Plaza (SOM, 1956-60) in lower Manhattan: a sunken circular garden by Isamu Noguchi (1961-64) and Group of Four Trees (1972), a 42-foot-tall work by Jean Dubuffet.

Pei first developed an interest in modern art during the 1940s. He collected works by Abstract Expressionist painters, as well as sculptures by Dubuffet and Henry Moore. During a 1958 visit to Paris he met the Norwegian sculptor Carl Nesjar (b. 1920), who was returning home from the south of France where he recently introduced Picasso (1881-1973) to the technique called “naturebetong” or nature concrete. Also known as “Betrograve,” this sandblasting process was developed by architect Erling Viksjø (1910-71) and engineer Svere Jystad during the mid-1950s. Picasso commented: “I am intrigued with your concrete and want to do something with it.” Initially, he made four large wall drawings for a government building in Oslo, followed by a 1961 frieze in Barcelona. Pei told Nesjar:

I have long thought about monumental sculpture in scale with modern architecture, and I recognize the possibilities of concrete technique. Here and now I am beginning a one-man crusade to have a monumental Picasso work for one of my projects.

Pei wanted to install a Picasso, possibly from the Sylvette series, in the courtyard of Kips Bay Plaza but his client, Zeckendorf, was not convinced it was essential to the program. He reportedly told the architect: “I can give you fifty saplings or this piece of sculpture.” Pei ultimately chose trees. In Philadelphia, however, the city’s Percent for Art Ordinance, established in 1959, required that developers incorporate art into their projects. Early renderings for Society Hill illustrate two pieces: an equestrian statue set on a raised pedestal in the central plaza, and what appears to be Sylvette, on a lawn behind the apartment towers. Though neither would be executed, Pei reportedly had a $400,000 budget and instead commissioned bronze sculptures by Gaston Lachaise and Leonard Baskin.

Sylvette David (b. 1934, later Lydia Corbett) was nineteen years old when she met Picasso in spring 1953. Approximately forty portraits of her in various media resulted, including the group of five busts that Nesjar viewed at the artist’s Valluris studio, La Galliose, in 1962. Fabricated with folded sheet metal and then painted, each piece was approximately two feet tall. In consultation with Nesjar, Picasso selected which would be most appropriate for enlargement and then produced a photo collage representing the view from Houston Street. Dated April 4, 1967 and October 17, 1967, the artist wrote: “I agree that Nesjar reproduce this sculpture.” A close examination of the collage, however, reveals that during the period between the two dates the sculpture’s location was changed from the west side of the lawn, where it would have been visible from the intersection of Houston and Mercer Streets, to a somewhat more central location, opposite the entrance to 110 Bleecker Street.

In November 1967, while a retrospective devoted to Picasso’s sculpture was being held at the Museum of Modern Art, NYU formally announced the commission. The model for Sylvette was part of the exhibition and Pei persuaded one of the museum’s patrons, Allan D. and Kate S. Emil, to finance it. It was the second sculpture that the family had donated to the school, following an abstract aluminum relief by Rueben Nakian (1960-61), which originally adorned the upper north wall of the Loeb Student Center (demolished). Articles appeared in the New York
Times and Time magazine which described the projected Picasso sculpture as “half as high and twice as sexy as the Great Sphinx of Egypt.”50 Alfred Barr, former MoMA director, forecast that it would be the “grandest sculpture in Manhattan . . . not to mention the tallest pony-tail hairdo in the whole world.”51 It would be the second monumental Picasso sculpture in the United States – the first being an untitled fifty-foot-tall work executed in Cor-Ten steel for the Chicago Civic Center (1964-66, now Daley Plaza).52

Nesjar’s team worked on Sylvette for five months, from January to June 1968, taking twice the time estimated. He was assisted by two Norwegians, the carpenter Sigurd Fragure and the artist-writer Eric Hesselberg, who served on the crew of the Kon-Tiki expedition in 1947. The New York firm Wieskopf & Pickworth assisted with the structural engineering. It was Nesjar’s twelfth collaboration with the Picasso. Nesjar commented:

This is a collaboration or if you prefer, a translation . . . I’m like a conductor of an orchestra. The composer gives me a piece of music and then it’s up to me to see what I can do with it.53

It was a unique relationship and Picasso’s only criticism of Nesjar was that results were frequently “too perfect.”54

Freed and Amberg coordinated the sculpture project and, according to Pei, spent nearly a year bringing it to fruition. Amberg briefly lived in a NYU-University Village apartment and worked closely with Nesjar. To prepare the site, a beam and slab foundation was formed, supported by steel beams that rise from the garage. On top of the base, one side of the sculpture’s plywood formwork was assembled, followed by the steel skeleton, consisting of reinforcement bars. The skeleton was then enclosed with a second set of boards to create a water-tight form filled with crushed black basalt pebbles imported from Norway, as well as Hudson Valley traprock.55 Next, the rock was gently vibrated to achieve maximum density. According to Amberg, Nesjar’s team then drilled numerous ports that were used to inject the form with liquid concrete. This process was repeated several times until the concrete reached the top. After six days, the boards were removed, revealing a smooth, joint-free surface. In the final steps, Nesjar marked the outer surface with charcoal and wax crayon, making minor adjustments to the artist’s original vision for reasons of scale or point of view. He remarked: “I must be the only person in the world who has corrected a Picasso.”56 Nesjar then used a sandblast nozzle to expose the dark rock, making her face, hair, and shoulders permanently visible.57

The Bust of Sylvette was dedicated the university’s Tishman Auditorium on December 9, 1968. Neither Allan nor Kate Emil had attended NYU but during his speech he described their shared admiration for the school and the “work it does today.” He also observed:

Picasso is one of the most important artists of our time, and New York should have a major example of his work in this medium. I can’t think of a better location for it than New York University, where it can serve education, art, and the public at large.58

Reception

University Village attracted considerable attention from the local media but only a few articles in national magazines. Initially, most writers focused on Pei’s innovative use of exposed concrete. The New York Herald Tribune reported in April 1964 that the preliminary design would “yield architectural distinction rarely associated with even more costly buildings.” As the
项目接近完成时，《纽约时报》采取了更为中立的立场；该综合体被称为“有争议的”，并详细描述了外骨骼和内部布局。《建筑论坛》在1966年12月发表了最深入和详细的论文。该论文由评论家兼摄影师塞维恩·罗宾逊撰写，他从平面图、技术和便利设施以及邻里环境的角度对综合体进行了研究。他得出结论：“这三座塔是皮伊所做过的最便宜的。它们也是他最好的。纽约不仅获得了三个地标，而且也证明了便宜的住房也可以是建筑。”59在《财富》杂志上，道格拉斯·哈斯克尔将该综合体列入了1966年十大最佳建筑之一，将其置于“建筑师在一方面进行炫耀的雕塑派建筑，而在另一方面进行保留的重复网格”类别下。60

大学村获得了三个专业奖项。在住宅工作类别下，它在1966年12月获得了纽约混凝土工业委员会的奖项，1967年5月获得了纽约市俱乐部的巴德奖，以及美国建筑师协会的国家荣誉奖。在1967年首次推出的《纽约建筑指南》中，该综合体被强烈赞颂：

“这三座几乎相同的塔楼，最接近西百老汇的塔楼是米切尔-拉马的中收入住房，无疑是这座城市中最好的，比皮伊的基普斯湾广场要好得多。这个结果对于高层住宅来说是惊人的，因为人们可以换一个位置，抓握到个体公寓的大小从北边看，它们似乎是逻辑和优雅的终结和最近建成的结构的进步。”62

1967年，纽约大学的韦弗厅，包括几乎完整的塔楼，也被美国建筑师协会1967年在纽约市举办的“过去100年中的优秀建筑”展览列为“杰出建筑”。

1979年，皮伊去世，许多作家都对他职业生涯进行了悼念，特别是特伦斯·里利，他是纽约现代艺术博物馆的建筑策展人，他在《国际先驱论坛报》的讣告中称大学村是“曼哈顿所有现代设计中最精致的案例。”66

后续历史

大学村看起来和纽约大学的两座大楼在1966年底开始占用学校大楼时一样。大多数合组公寓在1965年10月售出，业主-租户开始在1967年4月占用第三座大楼。1974年，纽约大学的两座大楼更名为纪念对学校有重大贡献的朱利叶斯·西尔弗，1922年毕业。

项目接近完成时，《纽约时报》采取了更为中立的立场；该综合体被称为“有争议的”，并详细描述了外骨骼和内部布局。《建筑论坛》在1966年12月发表了最深入和详细的论文。该论文由评论家兼摄影师塞维恩·罗宾逊撰写，他从平面图、技术和便利设施以及邻里环境的角度对综合体进行了研究。他得出结论：“这三座塔是皮伊所做过的最便宜的。它们也是他最好的。纽约不仅获得了三个地标，而且也证明了便宜的住房也可以是建筑。”59在《财富》杂志上，道格拉斯·哈斯克尔将该综合体列入了1966年十大最佳建筑之一，将其置于“后-前的玩乐之间，以一种炫耀性雕塑建筑来统一的，或者在另一方面，建筑的概念，保留的重复网格。”60

大学村获得了三个专业奖项。在住宅工作类别下，它在1966年12月获得了纽约混凝土工业委员会的奖项，1967年5月获得了纽约市俱乐部的巴德奖，以及美国建筑师协会的国家荣誉奖。在1967年首次推出的《纽约建筑指南》中，该综合体被强烈赞颂：

《纽约时报》的建筑评论家保罗·戈尔德伯格在1979年称这三座塔楼为“庄重而 sophisticated 。在纽约市几乎没有几个像样的战后公寓住宅中，它们脱颖而出。皮伊将节奏和纹理带入了建筑的设计中，这绝对是绝妙的。”63 城市地图和城市规划师约翰·陶拉纳克在《必要纽约》(1979年)中指出，这三座塔楼是“精炼设计，以其结构和细节关注，许多‘豪华’住宅忽略了。房客用自己值得的钱，和走过的行人。’64 建筑历史学家罗伯特·A·M·斯文称这座综合体在1960年《纽约》中，将其称为“动画，雕塑般的活泼而人类化的设计。”在《曼哈顿摩天大楼》(1999年)一书中，埃里克·P·纳什称赞大学村，为它在“各种现代设计流派的综合”中表现出的“优雅的综合”而赞颂，他观察到它显示了“动态的活力”在其中“空间的流动几乎是可触摸的。”65

当弗里德在2005年去世时，许多作家都对他职业生涯进行了悼念，特别是特伦斯·里利，他是现代艺术博物馆的建筑策展人。在各种建筑中，他指出了对《国际先驱论坛报》中的讣告的大学村被引用为“曼哈顿优秀设计的最精致的例子。”66

后续历史

大学村看起来和它在1966年12月纽约大学的两座大楼一样。大多数的合组公寓在1965年10月售出，业主-租户在1967年4月开始占用第三座大楼。1974年，纽约大学的两座大楼更名为纪念对学校有重大贡献的朱利叶斯·西尔弗，1922年毕业。
as a lawyer at Columbia University, for many years he advised Edwin Land of the Polaroid Corporation. A free-standing metal plaque installed on the north side of the plaza acknowledges his substantial gifts to the school, as well as horizontal metal plaques attached the walls inside each building’s arcade. The diagonal path, connecting the central plaza to Bleecker Street, is not original and probably was added during the 1970s or 1980s. Many of the free-standing lighting fixtures, except those near 505 LaGuardia Place, date from the 1980s or later. Overall, the concrete exteriors are in a remarkably good state of repair. Some patching, however, is visible, particularly on the north facade of 505 LaGuardia Place.

Description

University Village is located in the southeast section of Greenwich Village on two tax lots (Tax Lot 1 and part of Lot 66) bordered, in part, by LaGuardia Place, Bleecker Street, and West Houston Street. The south half of the site has been raised to create a level platform for the three buildings. Beneath the buildings are two parking garages, reached by separate concrete entrance ramps on the north side of West Houston Street. The east ramp, serving both NYU buildings, is located close to Greene Street. Painted steel tubular railings (likely from the 1980s) are attached to the top of the concrete walls that flank the ramp. Along part of the top of the east wall is steel fencing. The west ramp, located between Wooster Street and LaGuardia Place, serves the 505 LaGuardia Place garage. Steel fencing is attached to the upper portion of the concrete walls that enclose the west garage entrance. Pedestrian access to both garages is provided by sidewalks, lined with steel fencing, leading to single, hollow-metal doors; the doors are located on the west side of the east garage, and on the east side of the west garage. Both garages have metal roll-up doors. Immediately to the west of each garage ramp is a sanitation area. Both sanitation areas have locked gates, concrete paving and low concrete walls surmounted by steel fences. The western sanitation area (south of 505 LaGuardia Place) has sheet metal attached to the inside face of the fence.

A paved drive, located along the former Wooster Street links Houston and Bleecker Streets. From Houston Street, the original purplish-pink, granite-block paved drive separates 110 Bleecker Street and 505 LaGuardia Place. This drive turns east, passing the entrance of 110 Bleecker Street, and then north, passing 100 Bleecker Street, and then east again, bordering the central lawn/plaza. Planted with grass, the level plaza is square in shape, with rounded corners. Adjoining the corners are arrow-shaped metal drains set into concrete. At the southeast corner of the lawn is the Bust of Sylvette, as well as a small brass plaque on a low concrete pedestal identifying the artist and donor. Historic concrete curbs curl into the granite road bed, with three curb cuts leading to the west drive. Concrete bollards, original and mostly in good condition, are scattered around the sidewalk that adjoins the road. Along the north sidewalk is a low concrete bench with a slight cantilever on both sides that extends from the northwest corner of 100 Bleecker Street to the east side of the west drive (along the former Wooster Street). Toward the west end of the sidewalk is a pair of bronze-colored metal flagpoles. Between the flagpoles, a freestanding metal plaque set into a concrete slab describes the NYU buildings.

The north lawn parallels Bleecker Street and extends east from the drive to the eastern edge of the site. The closely-planted trees are likely to date from the time of construction. The lawn also contains non-historic lighting fixtures, including U-shaped pole lights and pole-mounted flood lights. A diagonal concrete path, starting near the northwest corner of 100 Bleecker Street, extends northeast through the north lawn, ending near the Bleecker Street sidewalk. The east lawn, adjoining 100 Bleecker Street, extends south to a concrete path, from
the site’s east boundary. Between this path and Houston Street is a seating area featuring a broken spiral of concrete benches. At the southeast corner of the site, between the seating area and east garage ramp on Houston Street, is a playground enclosed with original concrete walls, featuring a concrete bench, ramp, and circular sandbox set into the ground and a circular tree planter. The south central lawn, between 110 Bleecker Street and Houston Street, is bordered by a steel fence on the east, west, and south. It is also enclosed by a non-historic chain-link fence extending from the east facade of 110 Bleecker Street to the playground. An original concrete taxi call light is located at the southwest corner of the south central lawn, near Houston Street.

South of 505 LaGuardia Place is a lush private garden, enclosed by a steel fence. This garden, as well as the building’s rear facade, is visible through the fence. Located within the garden are two original lamp posts. Proceeding north from Houston Street, along the shaded path between the private garden and the “Time Landscape” (not part of this designation), is a wide concrete staircase with four metal railings that ascend east into a mews or passageway, passing 505 LaGuardia Place on the right (south). This passage has three original painted metal light poles, each with five glass globes. The other lighting fixtures along the plaza and sidewalks are non-historic and feature slender steel poles with U-shaped supports that hold covered square-shaped down lights. Where there is grass, they are set on concrete cubes, the rest are bolted to the sidewalk. Along the north side of this passage is a low concrete bench that encloses a planting bed. Directly north of the stairs, the west end of the bench becomes the top of a concrete wall, to which the raised historic metal numbers “505” are attached. At the east end, the bench curves and meets a rectangular base on which a steel fence has been installed. Non-historic metal signs are installed on the face of the bench. From this bench, a sidewalk extends north along the west side of the former route of Wooster Street. Here, non-historic U-shaped lighting fixtures and trees alternate. A high steel fence separates the sidewalk from a planting bed with a second row of trees, as well as a low concrete wall that separates the complex from the space used by the adjacent supermarket driveway. A chain-link fence is installed on top of this wall.

Adjoining the central plaza, to the east, south and west are three 30-story apartment buildings. Located near the center of the site, this trio rises in isolation and stands taller than the various buildings that surround the site. Each tower is defined by grids of deeply-recessed windows executed with exposed concrete. Wider than deep, each projecting facade has four or eight windows, flanked on one side by a row of narrow windows, as well as a sheer wall of concrete divided into vertical panels. Each bay consists of two sections: the upper one incorporates a pair of sliding aluminum windows, and the smaller lower section, a ventilation grille. At the ground story, the primary facades have deep arcades that lead to lobbies, and the secondary facades have large windows set above raised bases. Small recessed openings at the basement level are filled with louvers; most of the louvers at 505 LaGuardia Place are original, while most of those at 100 and 110 Bleecker Street are non-historic. Other non-historic elements include: flood lights mounted to many of the basement louvers and parapets, metal mesh box-like fences which enclose recesses at the ground floor; sheet-metal signage; and security cameras.

The exterior wall of the lobby of 505 LaGuardia Place faces north and is set behind an arcade. There is a short step within each bay, except at the east end, which has been converted to a ramp. The cement pavement within the arcade is divided into rectangles; joints separating the rectangles are aligned with the columns. The step riser at the two center bays has been painted yellow. The east and west walls of the arcade are faced with tan brick. On the west wall is the historic “505” sign with raised digits. The ceiling incorporates a row of original recessed lighting
fixtures, aligned with the center of each bay. The entrance consists of a projecting pavilion with concrete side walls, brown-colored aluminum framed windows and two inward-opening glass doors. The pavilion is flanked by recessed plate glass windows on either side. A service entry located to the west end of the arcade has brown-painted metal doors and a sheet metal transom. A non-historic security camera is installed at the east end of the arcade on the upper wall. Original “505” signs with raised digits are located on the northeast corner of the north facade and at the northwest corner of the west facade.

The exterior wall of the lobbies of 100 and 110 Bleecker Street are similar to 505 LaGuardia but are not identical, with larger sheets of plate glass to either side of the entrance pavilion. Horizontal metal strips have been added in various locations near the ground to protect the glass. The walls to the right of both entrances are faced with tan brick. Attached to these walls are the original raised numerals that indicate the building’s address “100” and “110,” as well as a circa 1970s metal plaque indicating “SILVER TOWERS, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY.” The shallow ramps that enter through the arcade are not original and probably replaced a single step. Most of the lighting fixtures in these arcades are not original, nor are the low granite benches on either end. Original service entries with metal doors and sheet-metal transoms are located on the west side of 110 Bleecker Street and the south side of 100 Bleecker Street.

NOTES


2 100 Washington Square East, initially, was mostly leased to the American Book Company.

3 In 1928-29, a building for the School of Education was erected at the northwest corner of Greene and 4th Streets. Designed by the prominent architect James Gamble Rogers, it was hoped that the 12-story neo-Gothic structure would “set a standard for the University’s architecture at Washington Square.” See “NYU Authorizes 12-Story Building,” New York Times, August 2, 1928, 8.
4 NYU acquired several sites on or close to Washington Square, including property leased from Sailors’ Snug Harbor and “Genius Row,” a group of low-rise structures along Washington Square South that was subsequently demolished. Last viewed at http://www.nyu.edu/library/bobst/research/arch/175/pages/snug.htm.


7 Designed by architect and planner Paul Lester Weiner (1895-1968), in association with S. J. Kessler & Sons, the first buildings were completed in 1959 and 1960. Faced with multi-colored glazed brick, both 600-foot-long structures loosely recall the Unité d’Habitation in Marseilles, as well as other works by Le Corbusier, who Weiner collaborated with as a member of the Town Planning Associates in Latin America during the 1940s.


10 The design of this building would need to be approved by the Housing and Redevelopment Board, successor to the Committee on Slum Clearance in 1960. There are currently 101 examples of this type of residence in New York City. Last viewed at http://www.nyc.gov/html/hpd/html/apartment/mitchell-lama.shtml.

11 Memo from George Baughman, September 27, 1960, Roberto Collection, NYU Archives, Box 18.

12 Letter from Leonard to Baughman, November 4, 1960, NYU Archives, Box 12.


16 Wiseman, 69.

17 Moore graduated from Harvard in 1949 and worked for Pei most of his career. For a brief period, he was associated with the Italian architect and designer Gio Ponti. In 1968 he received the Bard Award for consulting on Paley Park. John A. Pruyn served as the project’s associate architect.

18 Minutes of NYU Meeting with Housing & Redevelopment Board, December 22, 1960, NYU Archives, Box 18.

19 Minutes of NYU Meeting, January 9, 1961, NYU Archives, Box 18, folder 29.

20 Freed letter to Hopkins, March 13, 1964, Pei Cobb Freed Archive.

21 These strips of land were acquired by the city for street widenings and were not an intended part of the site plan. This type of spatial approach may also reflect the firm’s earlier use of Zeckendorf’s private jet to evaluate building sites from above. See Wiseman, 53.


23 Last viewed at http://www.guggenheimcollection.org/site/artist_work_md_51_3.html. It should be noted that during 1958-62 Giacometti was working on an unexecuted sculpture for Chase Manhattan Plaza in New York. In addition, a large exhibition of the sculptor’s work was presented at the Phillips Collection in Washington, D. C. during 1963.

24 In 2000, Pei said that he learned “a lot” about how to make housing “exciting and affordable” from Mies. See Gero Van Boehm, Conversations with I. M. Pei (2000).


26 American architects and engineers first began to recognize the value of reinforced concrete in the early twentieth century. Not only were these structures fireproof but they could be erected quickly and at a lower cost than conventional buildings. Consequently, most early examples were warehouses and factories, followed by public housing. In such instances, the concrete was rarely exposed, covered by brick facing or paint. Significant examples
are found in Brooklyn’s DUMBO Historic District, which has an important collection of early reinforced concrete warehouses, as well as in the Williamsburg Houses (1934-36, a designated New York City Landmark), a publicly-sponsored housing project, and the Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum (1956-59, a designated New York City Landmark and Interior).

30 Friedman, 165.
31 They also resemble the individual arcades that open onto the main plaza of Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts (various architects, 1962-66). Exposed cast-in-place concrete fell from favor during the 1970s. Though some architects like Paul Rudolph at Tracy Towers (1972) in the Bronx continued to explore the material’s structural and aesthetic potential, visible surface deterioration in older buildings and rising costs encouraged contractors to employ traditional curtain wall techniques, enclosing buildings with precast concrete panels, but more often brick, stone and glass.
32 NB-33/64, New York City Department of Buildings, approved March 22, 1967.
33 *New York University and the City*, 212.
34 See Board of Estimate Resolution (Cal. No. 7) dated November 6, 1964; “Approval for University Village;” *The Villager*, November 12, 1964.
35 Tishman was the contractor for all three buildings though it did not sign the $6.7 million contract with the New York State Dormitory Authority to erect the NYU apartments until December 1964. See “Housing Contract Let For State University,” *New York Times*, December 16, 1964, 74.
36 “Precision is Key to Concrete Pad,” *New York Times*, August 30, 1964, R6.
37 “A University Builds,” *NYU Notebook*, vol. 5, no 1 (September 1961), 2.
38 During the next decade, Pei’s firm worked for many American universities, including the University of Hawai'i (1963), MIT (1959-64), and the State University of New York at Fredonia (1962-68).
41 SOM architect Gordon Bunshaft asked Isamu Noguchi to design a raised sculpture garden for Lever’s interior courtyard but due to budget constraints and personal issues, none of the works were realized. At the Seagram Building, Mies hoped to install a large sculpture in the deep plaza, but even offers from such prominent artists as Picasso and Constantin Brancusi were not carried out.
42 Nesjar was quite familiar with New York City. During his teenage years he lived in the Bay Ridge section of Brooklyn and Staten Island. He briefly studied at Pratt Institute (1936-38), as well as at Columbia University (1946-48). See [http://askart.com](http://askart.com) and Sally Fairweather, *Picasso’s Concrete Sculptures* (Hudson Hills Press, 1982), 63.
43 Fairweather, 27.
44 Fairweather, 111.
45 Wiseman, 63.
47 Sylvette has never visited New York to view the sculpture. In 2008, she wrote: “Although I have not yet seen the sculpture myself, I have several photographs of my daughter standing at its foot, and it is clearly a remarkable structure in a unique setting.” See “A Picasso Muse Wants to Protect Pei Towers,” viewed at [http://cityroom.blogs.nytimes.com](http://cityroom.blogs.nytimes.com) on June 24, 2008.

Fairweather, 112. The Emils donated a major Picasso, Three Women at the Spring (1921), to MoMA in 1952. Most, if not all, of Picasso’s large concrete sculptures should also be considered a gift of the artist. As was the case in Chicago, he donated the image and concept and a third party was responsible for covering the expense of execution. Though most sources identify the Emils as the donor, in a 1976 article devoted to public sculpture NYU professor Howard Conant rightfully credits the artist who allowed Pei and NYU to use his work. See Howard Conant, “High Art or Cultural Shrubbery?,” Leonardo, Vol. 9, No. 1 (Winter 1976), 33-35.


Financed by three private foundations, the Picasso sculpture in Chicago was dedicated in August 1967, several months before the NYU announcement. See “Chicago Picasso,” last viewed at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chicago_Picasso.


“A Prestressed, Post-Tensioned Picasso,” Concrete Construction Magazine, October 1, 1969, viewed online.

Fairweather, 115.


Ted Amberg, e-mail to author, August 11, 2008.


John Tauranac, Essential New York: Guide to the History and Architecture of Manhattan’s Important Buildings, Parks and Bridges (Holt Rinehart & Wilson, 1979), 222.


FINDINGS AND DESIGNATION

On the basis of a careful consideration of the history, the architecture and other features of this complex of buildings, the Landmarks Preservation Commission finds that University Village has a special character, special historical and aesthetic interest and value as part of the development, heritage, and cultural characteristics of New York City.

The Commission further finds that University Village is one of the finest examples of a mid-20th century residential complex in New York City; that it was designed by James Ingo Freed of I.M. Pei & Associates for New York University (NYU) in 1964-67; that it occupies a five-acre “superblock” in Greenwich Village, between West Houston and Bleecker Streets; that the site was acquired by the city for slum clearance in 1953; that a decade later NYU took title to the parcel in 1963, agreeing to set aside one-third of the units for middle-income residents; that the three identical free-standing 30-story towers were executed using exposed reinforced concrete; that the west tower, 505 LaGuardia Place, is a cooperative residence located on NYU-owned land and the east towers are used as faculty housing; that the buildings were carefully-arranged to maximize tenant views and privacy as well as to increase visual interest; that the concrete was cast in place using fiberglass molds; that the buildings fall into the general stylistic category known as “Brutalism,” influenced by the Swiss-French architect Le Corbusier, whom Pei admired; that each tower has four or eight deeply-recessed horizontal window bays, as well as a 22-foot wide sheer wall, creating dramatic juxtapositions of light and shadow; that near the center of the complex is an enlargement of a 1954 cubistic sculpture by Pablo Picasso; that this 36-foot-tall bust was executed in sandblasted concrete by the artist’s frequent collaborator Carl Nesjar and positioned to enhance the project’s dynamic plan; that University Village was widely praised in the media and was the recipient of awards from the American Institute of Architects, the City Club of New York, and the Concrete Industry Board; that these buildings were called out when Pei received the Pritzker Architecture Prize in 1983; and that Museum of Modern Art architecture curator Terence Riley counted University Village as among “the most refined examples of modern architecture in Manhattan.”

Accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 74, Section 3020 (formerly Section 534 of Chapter 21) of the Charter of the City of New York and Chapter 3 of Title 25 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, the Landmarks Preservation Commission designates as a Landmark University Village at 100 and 110 Bleecker Street (aka Silver Towers I & II, 98-122 Bleecker Street and 40-58 West Houston Street) and 505 LaGuardia Place (aka 487-507 LaGuardia Place and 64-86 West Houston Street) and designates Borough of Manhattan Tax Block 524, Lot 1 and Lot 66, in part, beginning at the southwest corner of Lot 1 and extending northerly along the western property line of Lot 1 coincident with the easterly side of LaGuardia Place 248 feet; then easterly along a section of the northern property line of Lot 1 that runs parallel to Bleecker Street 124 feet; then northerly 130.18 feet along a section of the western property line of Lot 1 that parallels LaGuardia Place; then easterly along the northern property line of Lot 1 and a section of the northern property line of Lot 66 coincident with the southerly side of Bleecker Street 302.24 feet; then southerly and parallel to Mercer Street 336.52 feet; then...
easterly and parallel with West Houston Street 9.92 feet; then southerly and parallel with Mercer Street to the southern property line of Lot 66; then westerly along a section of the southern property line of Lot 66 and the southern property line of Lot 1 coincident with the northerly side of West Houston Street 437.35 feet to the point of beginning.
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Christopher Moore, Elizabeth Ryan, Commissioners
University Village
View northwest from corner of West Houston and Mercer Streets
100 and 110 Bleecker Street (aka Silver Towers I & II, 96-122 Bleecker Street, and 26-58 West Houston Street) and 505 LaGuardia Place
(aka 487-507 LaGuardia Place and 64-86 West Houston Street)

All photos by Carl Forster and Matthew A. Postal
View northeast from corner of West Houston Street and West Broadway
110 Bleecker Street, north and west facades
View east from near LaGuardia Place, 505 La Guardia Place at right

View east toward 100 Bleecker Street
View west toward central lawn, 505 LaGuardia Place at center

View north along former route of Wooster Street, 505 LaGuardia Place at right
Base of 505 LaGuardia Place, northeast corner

Arcade, 110 Bleecker Street, view east toward gym
View west across north lawn, Bleecker Street at right

View east along sidewalk that parallels Bleecker Street, north lawn at right
View north along east boundary, sitting area at left

View south along east boundary, rear of 100 Bleecker Street at right
Circular seating area, view southeast, towards West Houston Street

Playground, view to northwest from West Houston Street
Southeast corner of site, playground at left

View east along West Houston Street, 110 Bleecker Street at left
“Bust of Sylvette”
central lawn, views west and east
UNIVERSITY VILLAGE (LP-2300) 100 and 110 Bleecker Street (aka Silver Towers I & II, 98-122 Bleecker Street and 40-58 West Houston Street) and 505 LaGuardia Place (aka 487-507 LaGuardia Place and 64-86 West Houston Street).

Borough of Manhattan, Tax Map Block 524, Lot 1 and Lot 66, in part, beginning at the southwest corner of Lot 1 and extending northerly along the western property line of Lot 1 coincident with the easterly side of LaGuardia Place 248 feet; then easterly along a section of the northern property line of Lot 1 that runs parallel to Bleecker Street 124 feet; then northerly 130.18 feet along a section of the western property line of Lot 1 that parallels LaGuardia Place; then easterly along the northern property line of Lot 1 and a section of the northern property line of Lot 66 coincident with the southerly side of Bleecker Street 302.24 feet; then southerly and parallel to Mercer Street 336.52 feet; then easterly and parallel with West Houston Street 9.92 feet; then southerly and parallel with Mercer Street to the southern property line of Lot 66; then westerly along a section of the southern property line of Lot 66 and the southern property line of Lot 1 coincident with the northerly side of West Houston Street 437.35 feet to the point of beginning.
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